Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52 Filed 07/19/10 Page 1 of 7

- 1				
1	Donald M. Peters 005929 Kristin Mackin 023985			
2	LaSOTA & PETERS, PLC 722 East Osborn Road, Suite 100			
3	Phoenix, AZ 85014 Telephone: (602) 248-2900			
4	Facsimile: (602) 248-2999 dpeters@lasotapeters.com			
5	Jeffrey T. Murray			
6	MOYES SELLERS & SIMS 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100			
7	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Telephone: (602)604-2169			
8	Facsimile: (602)274-9135 itmurray@lawms.com			
9	Attorneys for Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool			
10				
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
13		ı		
14	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:10-cv-01413-SRB		
15	Plaintiff,	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE		
16	vs.	MEMORANDUM		
17				
18	THE STATE OF ARIZONA, et al.,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22	The Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool moves this Court for an orde			
23	allowing it to file the attached, proposed amicus curiae memorandum. This			
24	motion is based on the accompanying Me	emorandum of Points and Authorities.		
25				
26				
27				
		1		

July 19, 2010.

/s/ Donald M. Peters

Donald M. Peters

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool ("the Insurance Pool") is a self-insurance pool for Arizona cities and towns. The Insurance Pool is owned and operated by the seventy-four cities and towns that comprise its membership. A list of the Insurance Pool's members is attached as an appendix to this motion. The Insurance Pool is essentially the self-insurance and risk-management arm of a large number of Arizona's municipalities.

The Insurance Pool takes no position on the underlying merits of this dispute. It has a significant practical and financial interest, however, in one aspect of the United States' request for a preliminary injunction. Until this Court renders a ruling on the merits, the Insurance Pools' members face the prospect of significant liabilities regardless of how they approach S.B. 1070, as explained more fully in the accompanying memorandum. That dilemma can be alleviated if the Court will stay one portion of S.B. 1070 pending a ruling on the merits.

The Court is therefore requested to enter an order permitting the Insurance Pool to participate as amicus curiae and to consider the points raised in the accompanying memorandum.

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52 Filed 07/19/10 Page 3 of 7

July 19, 2010. 1 LaSOTA & PETERS PLC 2 3 By: /s/Donald M. Peters 4 Donald M. Peters 5 Kristin Mackin 6 722 East Osborn Road, Suite #100 Phoenix, Arizona 85014 7 Jeffrey T. Murray 8 **MOYES SELLERS & SIMS** 9 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, AZ 85004 10 Attorneys for Arizona Municipal Risk 11 Retention Pool 12 13 Original of the foregoing electronically filed this 19th day of July, 2010 with: 14 15 Clerk of the United States District Court 16 For the District of Arizona 401 W. Washington Street 17 Phoenix, AZ 85003 18 Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered this 19th day of July, 2010, to: 19 20 The Honorable Susan R. Bolton 21 **United States District Court** For the District of Arizona 22 401 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85003 23 24 25 26

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52 Filed 07/19/10 Page 4 of 7

1	Copy of the foregoing mailed and emailed this 19 th day of July, 2010 to:
2	Lochuo Willronfold
3	Joshua Wilkenfeld U.S. Department of Justice
4	Civil Division – Federal Programs Branch
5	20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, DC 20530
6	Varu Chilakamarri
7	U.S. Department of Justice
8	20 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001
9	John J. Bouma
10	Joseph G. Adams
11	Robert Arthur Henry Snell & Wilmer LLP
12	1 Arizona Center
13	400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, AZ 85004
14	Joseph Andrew Kanefield
15	Office of Governor Janice K. Brewer 1700 W. Washington Street, 9 th Floor
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	Anne Milgram
18	Center for the Administration of Criminal Law 139 MacDougal Street, Suite 307
19	New York, NY 10012
20	Anthony S. Barkow
21	Center for the Administration of Criminal Law 139 MacDougal Street, Suite 307
22	New York, NY 10012
23	Ellen London
24	Jessica Alexandra Murzyn Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP
25	1633 Broadway, 46 th Floor
26	New York, NY 10019
,,	Ricardo Solano, Jr.

Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman 1 Gateway Ctr., 25th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 By: /s/ Toni Vanchieri

1 **APPENDIX** 2 LIST OF MEMBERS OF ARIZONA MUNICIPAL RISK RETENTION POOL 3 City of Apache Junction Town of Miami City of Avondale 4 Town of Marana City of Benson City of Maricopa 5 City of Bisbee Town of Oro Valley 6 Town of Buckeye Town of Paradise Valley City of Bullhead City Town of Parker 7 Town of Camp Verde Town of Patagonia 8 Town of Carefree Town of Payson 9 Town of Pima City of Casa Grande Town of Cave Creek Town of Pinetop/Lakeside 10 Town of Chino Valley Town of Prescott Valley 11 Town of Clarkdale Town of Quartzsite Town of Clifton Town of Queen Creek 12 Town of Colorado City City of Safford 13 City of Coolidge Town of Sahuarita City of Cottonwood 14 City of St. Johns Town of Dewey-Humboldt City of San Luis 15 Town of Diamond Star Valley City of Sedona 16 City of Show Low Town of Duncan City of Sierra Vista Town of Eagar 17 Town of Snowflake City of Eloy 18 City of El Mirage City of Somerton Town of Florence City of South Tucson 19 Town of Fountain Hills Town of Springerville 20 Town of Fredonia Town of Superior 21 Town of Gila Bend City of Surprise City of Globe Town of Taylor 22 Town of Thatcher City of Goodyear 23 Town of Guadalupe Town of Tusayan Town of Hayden City of Tolleson 24 City of Holbrook City of Tombstone 25 City of Huachuca City Town of Wellton 26 Town of Jerome Town of Wickenburg

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52 Filed 07/19/10 Page 7 of 7

	1	
1	Town of Kearny	City of Willcox
2	City of Kingman	Town of Winkelman
3	City of Litchfield Park Town of Mammoth	City of Winslow Town of Youngtown
4		League of AZ Cities &
5		Towns
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 1 of 6

1	Donald M. Peters 005929			
2	Kristin Mackin 023985 LaSOTA & PETERS, PLC 722 East Osborn Road, Suite 100			
3	722 East Osborn Road, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85014 Telephone: (602) 248-2900			
4	Facsimile: (602) 248-2999 dpeters@lasotapeters.com			
5	Jeffrey T. Murray			
6	MOYES SELLERS & SIMS 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100			
7	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Telephone: (602)604-2169 Facsimile: (602)274-9135 jtmurray@lawms.com			
8				
9	Attorneys for Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool			
10				
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
13				
14	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:10-cv-01413-SRB		
15	Plaintiff,	AMICUS CURIAE		
16	vs.	MEMORANDUM OF ARIZONA MUNICIPAL RISK RETENTION		
17		POOL		
18	THE STATE OF ARIZONA, et al.,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22	The Arizona Municipal Risk Ret	ention Pool ("the Insurance Pool") takes		
23	no position as to whether S.B. 1070 is constitutional or whether it is preempted by			
24	federal law. The cities and towns that comprise the Insurance Pool's membership			
25	do not have a common view of S.B. 1070. Some support the measure. Some			
26	oppose it.			
27	oppose it.			

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 2 of 6

The Insurance Pool's members do not, however, want to divert any more taxpayer dollars than necessary to paying civil judgments. Because of that concern, the Insurance Pool submits that one sentence of the new law should be preliminarily enjoined pending a ruling on the merits.

The sentence with which the Insurance Pool is concerned is found in the amended version of A.R.S. § 11-1051(B): "Any person who is arrested shall have the person's immigration status determined before the person is released." The Insurance Pool will refer to this provision as the mandatory-detention provision. Until this Court determines whether S.B. 1070 is valid, the mandatory-detention provision creates an insoluble dilemma for Arizona's cities and towns, including the seventy-four cities and towns that are members of the Insurance Pool.

If the Insurance Pool's members abide by the mandatory-detention provision while this litigation is pending and this Court ultimately finds that the provision is invalid, the Insurance Pool's members may face large liabilities.

Individuals who are detained pursuant to the provision may well bring civil actions if the provision is ultimately ruled invalid. S.B. 1070 requires the Insurance Pool's members to indemnify law enforcement officials for any liabilities incurred as a result of its provisions. *See* A.R.S. § 11-1051(K).

If the Insurance Pool's members do not enforce the mandatory-detention provision while this litigation is pending, they will still face the prospect of significant liabilities. S.B. 1070 authorizes any legal resident of Arizona to sue cities, towns and their officials if the immigration laws are not enforced to the full

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 3 of 6

extent allowed by law. *See* A.R.S. § 11-1051(H). The measure requires that the courts impose significant financial penalties on any city or town that is determined to be under-enforcing the law. *Id*.

In short, Arizona's cities and towns are caught between Scylla and Charybdis. No matter what they do while the validity of the law is being adjudicated, they face the prospect of large liabilities for damages, civil penalties and attorneys' fees. The Insurance Pool's members will also incur significant costs for transporting and caring for any individuals who are detained.

The Court can take judicial notice that many Arizona municipalities are already experiencing severe financial difficulties. The limited resources they have available are acutely needed to provide municipal services. Those resources should not be diverted to paying unnecessary and avoidable civil judgments if that prospect can be avoided.

In this instance, it can be avoided. Arizona's cities and towns should not be required to enforce the mandatory-detention provision until this Court determines whether the provision is valid. It must be reiterated that the Insurance Pool takes no position on the validity of the mandatory-detention provision or any other provision of S.B. 1070. The Insurance Pool's concern is with not wasting taxpayer dollars while the validity of the law is being decided.

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 4 of 6

1		
2	July 19, 2010.	
3		LaSOTA & PETERS PLC
4		Laborn & Lilks Le
5	R _V ·	/s/Donald M. Peters
6	By.	
7		Donald M. Peters Kristin Mackin
8		722 East Osborn Road, Suite #100
9		Phoenix, Arizona 85014
10		Jeffrey T. Murray MOYES SELLERS & SIMS
11		1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, AZ 85004
12		Attorneys for Arizona Municipal Risk
13		Retention Pool
14		
15	Original of the foregoing electronically	
16	filed this 19th day of July, 2010 with:	
17	Clerk of the United States District Court	
18	For the District of Arizona 401 W. Washington Street	
19	Phoenix, AZ 85003	
20	Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered thi	S
21	19th day of July, 2010, to:	
22	The Honorable Susan R. Bolton United States District Court	
23	For the District of Arizona	
24	401 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85003	
25	Thomas, The obout	
26		
27		
ı	1	4

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 5 of 6

- 1	
1	Copy of the foregoing mailed and emailed this 19 th day of July, 2010 to:
2	
3	Joshua Wilkenfeld U.S. Department of Justice
4	Civil Division – Federal Programs Branch
5	20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, DC 20530
6	Varu Chilakamarri
7	U.S. Department of Justice
8	20 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001
9	Washington, BC 20001
10	John J. Bouma Joseph G. Adams
11	Robert Arthur Henry
	Snell & Wilmer LLP
12	1 Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren
13	Phoenix, AZ 85004
14	Joseph Andrew Kanefield
15	Office of Governor Janice K. Brewer
16	1700 W. Washington Street, 9 th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	Anne Milgram
18	Center for the Administration of Criminal Law
19	139 MacDougal Street, Suite 307 New York, NY 10012
20	Anthony S. Barkow
21	Center for the Administration of Criminal Law
22	139 MacDougal Street, Suite 307 New York, NY 10012
23	Ellen London
24	Jessica Alexandra Murzyn
25	Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP 1633 Broadway, 46 th Floor
26	New York, NY 10019

Case 2:10-cv-01413-SRB Document 52-1 Filed 07/19/10 Page 6 of 6

Ricardo Solano, Jr.
Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman
Gateway Ctr., 25th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

By: /s/ Toni Vanchieri